

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 9389 EKT-1001US 10/630,240 07/30/2003 Robert Bradley EXAMINER 21302 02/24/2005 KNOBLE, YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY MULCAHY, PETER D **EIGHT PENN CENTER** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 1350, 1628 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD

1713

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	(0 0
Office Action Summary		10/630,	240	BRADLEY ET AL.	
		Examine	er	Art Unit	
		Peter D.	Mulcahy	1713	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on ti	he cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	s
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum sture to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and the statute of	event, however, may a r atutory minimum of thir will expire SIX (6) MON oplication to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>05 January 20</i>	05.	•	
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□					
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
10)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•		· · · · ·	• •
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in A nents have been ule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	je
Attachmen	t(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 0.40)		ummary (PTO-413)	
3) 🛛 Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/21/03</u> .)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aguirre et al. US 5,656,680.

The claims are directed to a particular silica dispersing agent and its use in vulcanized rubber compositions. The elected specie of dispersing agent is dodecyl benzene sulfonic acid. The rubber compositions claimed include additional ingredients which are routinely used in rubber processing.

The Aguirre et al. patent shows vulcanized rubber compositions and is directed to processing aids and peptizing agents used as such. This patent identifies the claimed dodecyl benzene sulfonic acid (col. 10, lines 8-9) as the preferred peptizing agent.

Art Unit: 1713

The difference between the instantly claimed invention and the prior art is that the art fails to provide an example of each of the claimed ingredients used in the claimed amounts.

The instantly claimed invention is rendered prima facie obvious from the prior art because the incorporation of the dodecyl benzene sulfonic acid is suggested through out the disclosure. The claimed rubbers are shown at column 10 lines 54-64. The use of the claimed sulfur as a vulcanizing agent is shown in the examples, TABLE 1 at columns 11 & 12. The claimed silica is shown at column 17, line 10. The use of the rubber compositions in tire applications is suggested at column 12, lines 31.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the shown ingredients in combination with one another as claimed given that each of the ingredients is shown and suggested to be used in combination with one another.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,240

Art Unit: 1713

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

Art Unit 17

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2/22/05